APUSH LEQ RUBRIC	Name:	
Updated July 2017	LEQ:	
CONTEXTUALIZATION		
Describes a broader historical context	relevant to the prompt.	
To earn this point, the response must relate the topic of developments, or processes that occur before, during, or This point is not awarded for merely a phrase or a refere	r continue after the time frame of the question.	
THESIS / CLAIM		
Responds to the prompt with a historic that establishes a line of reasoning.	cally defensible thesis/claim	
To earn this point, the thesis must make a claim that res restating or rephrasing the prompt. <b>The thesis must con place, either in the introduction or the conclusion.</b>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
EVIDENCE & SUPPORT FOR ARGU	MENT (Must Earn 1 to Earn 2)	
<ol> <li>Provides SPECIFIC EXAMPLES of every the prompt.</li> </ol>	vidence <u>relevant</u> to the topic of	
To earn one point, the response must identify <b>two c</b> of evidence relevant to the topic of the prompt.	or more specific historical examples	
2. SUPPORTS AN ARGUMENT in responsand relevant examples of evidence		
To earn two points the response must use specific he response to the prompt.	nistorical evidence to support an argument in	
ANALYSIS & REASONING	(Must Earn 1 to Earn 2)	
1. Uses historical reasoning (e.g. compor structure an argument that addr		
To earn the first point, the response must demonstr frame or structure an argument, although the reaso		
2. Demonstrates a <u>complex understand</u> that is the focus of the prompt, using or modify an argument that addresse	g evidence to corroborate, qualify,	
To earn the second point, the response must demon be part of the argument and not merely a phrase of	-	
<ul> <li>Explaining nuance by analyzing multiple variables</li> <li>Explaining both similarity and difference, both continuitiple causes, or both causes and effects</li> <li>Explaining relevant and insightful connections withing</li> </ul>	POINTS:	/6

• Qualifying or modifying an argument by considering diverse or alternative views or evidence

• Confirming the validity of an argument by corroborating multiple perspectives across themes